Case No. 24-12311-J

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Appellant,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, WALTINE NAUTA, and CARLOS OLIVEIRA Defendants-Appellees

> On appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida Case No. 23-80101-CR-CANNON

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBILITY AND ETHICS IN WASHINGTON, NANCY GERTNER, STEPHEN GILLERS, AND JAMES J. SAMPLE, SUPPORTING APPELLANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND REVERSAL OF JUDGMENT

STEVEN A. HIRSCH KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: 415 391 5400 Facsimile: 415 397 7188

Attorneys for Amici Curiae Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington, Nancy Gertner, Stephen Gillers, and James J. Sample

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington ("CREW") submits this corporate disclosure statement pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1 and 29 and 11th Circuit Rules 26.1-2 and 29-1.

CREW has no parent company or other identifiable related legal entities, and no trial judges, attorneys, persons, associations of persons, firms, partnerships, or corporations that have an interest in the outcome of the particular case or appeal, including subsidiaries, conglomerates, affiliates, parent corporations, or any publicly held corporation, has a ten percent or greater ownership interest in CREW.

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 11th Circuit Rules 26.1-1 and 26.1-2, and based on the Certificate of Interested Persons set forth on pages C-1 to C-7 of the Brief of the United States filed on August 26, 2024 [doc. 18], the undersigned hereby certifies that the following is a list of persons and entities who have an interest in the outcome of this case:

1. Advance Publications, Inc.

2. Alonso, Cristina

- 3. America First Legal Foundation
- 4. American Broadcasting Companies, Inc., d/b/a ABC News
- 5. Ayer, Donald
- 6. Blackman, Joshua
- 7. Blanche, Todd
- 8. Bloomberg, L.P.
- 9. Bove, Emil
- 10. Bowman, Chad
- 11. Bratt, Jay
- 12. Cable News Network, Inc.
- 13. Calabresi, Steven
- 14. Caldera, Louis
- 15. Cannon, Hon. Aileen
- 16. Cate, Matthew
- 17. CBS Broadcasting, Inc. o/b/o CBS News
- 18. Citizens United
- 19. Citizens United Foundation
- 20. CMG Media Corporation
- 21. Coleman, Tom

22. Conway, George

23. Cooney, J.P.

24. Cox Enterprises, Inc. (COX) d/b/a The Atlanta Journal-

Constitution

25. Dadan, Sasha

26. De Oliveira, Carlos

27. Dow Jones & Company, Inc., publisher of The Wall Street

Journal

- 28. Dreeben, Michael
- 29. Edelstein, Julie
- 30. Fields, Lazaro
- 31. Fitzgerald, Patrick
- 32. Fort Myers Broadcasting Company
- 33. Gerson, Stuart
- 34. Goodman, Hon. Jonathan
- 35. Gray Media Group, Inc. (GTN)
- 36. Guardian News & Media Limited
- 37. Harbach, David
- 38. Hulser, Raymond

- 39. Insider, Inc.
- 40. Irving, John
- 41. Kise, Christopher
- 42. Lacovara, Philip Allen
- 43. Landmark Legal Foundation
- 44. Lawson, Gary
- 45. Los Angeles Times Communications LLC, publisher of The Los
- Angeles Times
- 46. Maynard, Hon. Shaniek Mills
- 47. McKay, John
- 48. McNamara, Anne
- 49. Meese, Edwin
- 50. Mishkin, Maxwell
- 51. Mukasey, Hon. Michael B.
- 52. Murrell, Larry Donald
- 53. National Cable Satellite Corporation d/b/a C-SPAN
- 54. National Public Radio, Inc.
- 55. Nauta, Waltine
- 56. NBCUniversal Media, LLC d/b/a NBC News, a subsidiary of

Comcast Corporation (CMCSA)

57. Orlando Sentinel Media Group, publisher of the Orlando

Sentinel

- 58. Pearce, James
- 59. Pellettieri, John
- 60. POLITICO LLC
- 61. Potter, Trevor
- 62. Radio Television Digital News Association
- 63. Raul, Alan Charles
- 64. Reinhart, Hon. Bruce E.
- 65. Reuters News & Media, Inc.
- 66. Russell, Lauren
- 67. Salario, Samuel
- 68. Sasso, Michael
- 69. Schaerr, Gene
- 70. Seligman, Matthew
- 71. Smith, Abbe
- 72. Smith, Fern
- 73. Smith, Jack

74. State Democracy Defenders Action

75. Sun-Sentinel Company, LLC, publisher of the South Florida Sun Sentinel

76. TEGNA, Inc. (TGNA)

77. Telemundo Network Group, LLC d/b/a Noticias Telemundo

78. Thakur, Michael

79. The Associated Press

80. The E.W. Scripps Company (SSP)

81. The McClatchy Company, LLC (MNI) d/b/a the Miami Herald

82. The New York Times Company (NYT)

83. The Palm Beach Post and USA TODAY, publications operated

by subsidiaries of Gannett Co., Inc. (GCI)

84. Thompson, Larry

85. Tillman, Seth Barrett

86. Tobin, Charles

87. Torres, Hon. Edwin

88. Trent, Edward H.

89. Tribe, Laurence

90. Troye, Olivia

- 91. Trump, Donald J.
- 92. Trusty, James
- 93. Twardy, Stanley
- 94. United States of America
- 95. Univision Networks & Studios, Inc.
- 96. VanDevender, Cecil
- 97. Weiss, Stephen
- 98. Weld, William
- 99. Wharton, Kendra
- 100. Whitman, Christine Todd
- 101. Woodward, Stanley
- 102. WP Company LLC d/b/a The Washington Post
- 103. WPLG, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 3, 2024

KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP

<u>/s/Steven A. Hirsch</u> STEVEN A. HIRSCH Eleventh Circuit Bar No: 022032412 California Bar No.: 171825 Attorneys for Amici Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 29(a)(3) and Eleventh Circuit Rule 29-1, undersigned counsel seeks leave to file the accompanying proposed Brief of Amici Curiae Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington, Nancy Gertner, Stephen Gillers, and James J. Sample.

STATEMENT OF MOVANTS' INTERESTS IN THE CASE

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington ("CREW") is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization that advocates for ethical, accountable, and open government. CREW has an interest in ensuring that our legal system enforces the ethics laws, rules, and canons applicable to federal judges so that our justice system treats all parties impartially. CREW has substantial expertise on matters related to judicial ethics, including testifying before the House and Senate at hearings on the topic, providing public analysis of judicial-ethics rules, and filing ethics complaints when federal judges violate those rules.

Nancy Gertner is a retired United States District Court Judge and is a Senior Lecturer at Harvard Law School, where she teaches subjects including criminal law, criminal procedure, and forensic science and sentencing.

1

Stephen Gillers is emeritus Professor of Law at the New York University School of Law. He has written widely on legal and judicial ethics in law reviews and in the legal and popular press.

James J. Sample is Professor of Civil Procedure, Constitutional Law, and Federal Courts at the Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University; is the author or numerous articles and reports on judicial conduct and ethics; and is the co-author of *Judicial Conduct and Ethics* (6th ed.), the leading desk-reference treatise on that subject.

REASONS WHY THIS BRIEF IS DESIRABLE AND RELEVANT TO CASE DISPOSITION

The proposed amici brief urges the Court, if it reverses the judgment entered in this case, to reassign the matter to another district judge on remand, pursuant to the Court's supervisory authority under 28 U.S.C. § 2106.

If the Court reverses Judge Aileen M. Cannon's ruling in this matter, it will be the third time in under three years that it has had to do so in a seemingly straightforward case about a former president's unauthorized possession of government documents. The proposed amici

 $\mathbf{2}$

brief marshals law and facts demonstrating that this case satisfies all three *Torkington* factors for reassignment.¹

A. Judge Cannon would have difficulty putting her previous views and findings aside on remand. This Court has had to reverse Judge Cannon three times—each time because she reached out to adopt pro-defense practices and theories that directly contradicted longstanding law. Her rulings and other conduct create the appearance of an unshakeable conviction that subjecting a former president to ordinary criminal procedures represents an intolerable affront to his dignity.

Judge Cannon's unprecedented ruling blocking the Government from using or viewing lawfully seized evidence, coupled with her demand that the parties draft jury instructions embodying Trump's baseless Presidential Records Act defense, would suggest to a reasonable member of the public that her biases will infect and distort a trial on remand.

B. Reassignment is appropriate to preserve the appearance of justice. Even before she dismissed this case on novel

¹ See United States v. Torkington, 874 F.2d 1441, 1447 (11th Cir. 1989).

and insupportable grounds that ignored both statutory authority and Supreme Court precedent, Judge Cannon's other extraordinary rulings and sluggish administration of the case had provoked well-founded concerns that she might be biased against the Government's case and unable to manage that case impartially.

C. The gains realized from reassignment would outweigh any waste or duplication. The third *Torkington* factor is easily satisfied here because the case has yet to be tried—indeed, much of the district court's pretrial work remains unfinished. And prior published opinions will help the next district judge master the essential facts and issues in the case.

The Special Counsel's opening brief on appeal does not request reassignment of the case on remand. This may reflect an assessment of risks and benefits that does not apply to the amici. The proposed amici brief therefore will play a unique role in helping the Court craft an appropriate remand, if the Court reverses the judgment. The proposed amici brief is therefore both desirable and relevant to case disposition.

4

Dated: September 3, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP

<u>/s/Steven A. Hirsch</u> STEVEN A. HIRSCH California Bar No.: 171825

Attorneys for Amici Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on September 3, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was electronically filed through CM/ECF. Notice of this filing will be sent by e-mail to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system.

Dated: September 3, 2024

KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP

<u>/s/Steven A. Hirsch</u> STEVEN A. HIRSCH California Bar No.: 171825

Attorneys for Amici Curiae